





Part 1: The Process

- Appointment
- Criteria
- Process
- Dean-prepared submission
- Promotion Review Committee (PRC)
- Assessment
- Recommendation

Promotion Process

- Article 20 of CA
- The focus of a promotion to Senior Teaching Professor decision to is on career accomplishments

Criteria

a) To become a Senior Teaching Professor at UOIT, the candidate must combine

i. an established record of excellent <u>Teaching</u>ii. with a record of high quality <u>Service</u> and <u>Other</u>

b) In assessing Teaching, the Promotion Review Committee shall take into account the amount of Service and Other undertaken.

Process

- Normally 5 years as Associate Teaching Professor, at least 10 years as a full-time faculty member, and have been a faculty member @ UOIT for a minimum of three years.
 Dean provides names + CVs of all candidates to Provost by May 1st of year prior to review, so your CV and intent to seek promotion should be communicated to your Dean before then.
- Once process has begun, candidate may withdraw anytime before final recommendation has been made

 Only once without prejudice to a later application
- If denied promotion, must wait 1 Academic Year before reapplying

Dean-prepared Submission

To the Candidate

Due December 15

- Dean's assessment on meeting criteria for promotion
- Each referee's appraisal (identifying information removed)
- Copies of letter from colleagues & students collected by dean

Opportunity to respond (by first Day of January)

• Written response, if applicable, on assessments to be included with documentation

Dean-prepared submission to PRC

By January 15th

- Dean's assessment
- Referees' appraisals
- Letters from colleagues, students received by dean
- Documentation supplied by candidate

PRC

- December 1 membership list proposed by Provost
- Members
 - Chair Provost (non-voting)
 - 5 faculty members
 - All Senior Teaching Professors or Full Professors
 Minimum two are Senior Teaching Professors (if available)
 - Referees on candidate's application, Dean of Faculty
 - absent themselves, and anyone with conflict of interest
- Quorum is full-membership
- Held in-camera
- Votes & meetings confidential

PRC Documentation

- Referees
 - Normally senior academics with rank of Full Professor/Senior Teaching Professor, at arm's length from candidate; at least one shall be external knowledgeable in candidate's discipline
 - Identify which nominated by Dean and candidate
 - Statements of qualifications for each
 - Assess all aspects of a candidate's Teaching based on materials in Teaching Dossier and must spend some time observing candidate's teaching (face-to-face or online)
- Written evaluations solicited by Dean and Candidate
 Official File
- No disciplinary letters

Assessment

- Prior to considerations, PRC meets to:
 - Review provisions re. article
 - Discuss criteria & evidence upon which recommendations are made and the diverse form of Teaching Service & Other that exist
- Only based on evidence before it
- May ask for additional info.
 - Candidate has 5 Days to provide additional info.

Assessment cont'd

- PRC recommends that promotion be granted or denied
 - Signed private ballot
 - Chair announces how each member voted
 - Approved by majority
 - Abstentions not permitted
- Recommendation made to President

Proposed Negative Recommendation

- · Candidate provided with a written statement with detailed reasons
- Candidate response within 10 Days - Written, and at candidate's option, orally
 - Right to FA representative in attendance
 - Right to access full contents of file, including confidential letters with identities redacted, in preparing response
- · PRC meets to record final recommendation

The Process

PRC

- Reviews criteria Reviews documentation
- Clearly recommends for or against promotion

- PRC Chair/Provost
 Conveys PRC Recommendation to candidate and President by March 31 Complete package accompanies recommendation and a summary of the evidence clearly indicating why the recommendation is for or against promotion.

President

- Informs candidate and PRC Chair of decision within 1 month If recommendation different than PRC, supplies written reasons
- •
- Presents Board of Governors with recommendation for approval at next scheduled meeting
- Conveys Board decision to candidate within 10 Days with a written statement of reasons and, if a negative decision, a summary of the evidence •

Promotion effective July 1st

Part 2: The Documents

- Referee letters
- Other written evaluations
- Official File
- CV
- Written statement
 - Teaching
 - Service
 - Other
- Teaching Dossier
- Other documentation collected by candidate

Referees

- Submit by December 1st
- Normally senior academics with the rank of Full Professor, Senior Teaching Professor, or equivalent, at arm's length from candidate; at least one shall be external
- Candidate provides Deans with at least 3 names by August 31
 - Dean obtains letter from at least 1
- Dean obtains from at least 1 knowledgeable in the candidate's discipline
 - No more than the number obtained from candidate's list

Referee's cont'd

Referees receive

- Article 20.01 (promotion criteria) and Article 16 (outlining Teaching/Service/Other)
- CV, Teaching Dossier, and other documentation collected by candidate
- Referees assess
 - All aspects of Teaching, Service, and Other
 - Review materials based on criteria
 - Teaching based on materials in Teaching Dossier and must spend time observing candidate's Teaching (face-to-face or online)

Do not make a recommendation for or against promotion

Other Written Information

Dean shall seek written evaluations from ...

Members of relevant Faculty(ies)

 Candidate's performance in Teaching/Service/Other

Dean may communicate with... • Former students

- Candidate may also seek letters from...
- Colleagues, former students, etc.
- These signed letters shall be compiled in the promotion file and identified as solicited reviews in the dossier.

All Signed letters compiled in file

Official File

- Article 18
- Committee has access to your official file except disciplinary letters
- Your review of your official file
 - In Provost office: email Caitlin Crompton
 - Read Article 18 of CA before you go.
 - No anonymous material in file; everything dated
 - 18.02 c) lists contents to be included

Candidate Documentation

- Due by August 31
- Candidate prepared submissions
 - Updated and complete CV
 - Statement on how criteria for promotion has been met (3 main sections addressing Teaching, Service, and Other)
 - Teaching Dossier
 - Written reviews solicited by candidate
 - Any other documentation (letters, awards, honours, selected works, etc.)

Teaching Statement

How have you met the criteria?

The focus of a promotion decision to Senior Teaching Professor is on career accomplishments. To become a Senior Teaching Professor at UOIT, the candidate must combine

i. an established record of excellent Teaching

 See handout – Article 16.02 Teaching (provides examples)

Teaching Statement cont'd

Think about:

- Your beliefs about teaching
- Teaching accomplishments (nominated for awards, letters from students, student course feedback surveys, etc.)
- Contributions to teaching (new courses, techniques, assessment, etc.)
- Background information about program (compulsory, complexity, class size, grad vs undergrad, etc.)
- Activities undertaken to improve teaching (workshops, peer observation and feedback, course evaluations, focus groups, etc.)
- Do:
- Prepare an Executive Summary

Service and Other Statement

How have you met the criteria?

The focus of a promotion decision to Senior Teaching Professor is on career accomplishments. To become a Senior Teaching Professor at UOIT, the candidate must combine ii. with a record of high quality Service and Other

• See handout – Article 16.03 and 16.04 Service and Other (provides examples)

Service and Other Statement cont'd

Think about:

- Leadership positions on committees (Executive Committee, Faculty rep on ..., FA, etc.)
- Contributions made to faculty committees
- Correlate outside community service to service inside the university
- Professional development, academic responsibilities, pedagogical pursuits

Do:

Prepare an Executive Summary

Overall

- How does your T/S/O "align with UOIT or faculty goals"? Check *Strategic Plan*
- Circumstances that explain why things happened (gaps in cv, illness)
- Resource availability to conduct research/teaching

CV Development

- See your dean for anything else wanted/needed
- Reverse chronological order
- Biographical Information
 - Name
 - Degrees
 - Employment History
 - Honours (include nominations and students who have received awards under your mentorship)
 - Professional affiliations and activities
- Scholarly and Professional Work (consistent format)

CV Development

Teaching Activities

- Undergraduate courses
- Graduate courses
- Thesis/Projects supervised (primary or secondary)
 - Masters (name, thesis topic, dates)
 - Doctoral (name, thesis topic, dates)
 - Postdoctoral (name, topic, dates)Undergraduate (name, thesis topic, dates)
- Other teaching and lectures
- Include work before coming to UOIT

CV Development

Service and Administrative Positions

- University
- Professional (consultancies)
- Clinical
- Community
- Other

Other Relevant Information

Teaching Dossier

- See handout and TLC@UOIT online resources
- Document and assess teaching accomplishments
- Selected works

Candidate's Final Package

- Up-dated CV
- Candidate's statement re T/S/O
- Teaching dossier
- Other documentation (letters, etc.)
- Published works (links to learning tools, websites, etc.)



